WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America v.				ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING DISPOSITION	
	J	loseph	Daniel Eschief	Case Number: _	CR-13-00390-PHX-NVW
			CCP 32.1 and 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a established:	a)(1), a detention hearing has be	een submitted to the Court. I conclude that
	the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant pending disposition in this case.				
\boxtimes	the d	efendant	is a serious flight risk and requir	e the detention of the defendan	t pending disposition in this case.
			PAR	Γ I FINDINGS OF FACT	
	(1)		- ' ' ' ' ' '	•	ederal offense)(state or local offense that eral jurisdiction had existed) that is
			a crime of violence as defined	in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).	
			an offense for which the maxii	mum sentence is life imprisonme	ent or death.
			an offense for which a maximum 801 et seq., 951 et seq., or 46	um term of imprisonment of ten U.S.C. §§ 70501 et seq.	years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §§
			a felony that was committed a described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142	fter the defendant had been core $\mathfrak{C}(f)(1)(A)$ -(C), or comparable sta	nvicted of two or more prior federal offenses te or local offenses.
			any felony that involves a min device (as those terms are de to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2	fined in section 921), or any oth	ssession or use of a firearm or destructive er dangerous weapon, or involves a failure
	(2)				
	(3) 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)(C): A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction)(release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in Finding No. (1).				
	(4)	will re	ngs Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish asonably assure the safety of (a butted this presumption.	n a rebuttable presumption that in a rebuttable presumption that in a rebuttable person(s) and the comm	no condition or combination of conditions nunity. I further find that the defendant has
				Alternative Findings	
	(1)	18 U.	S.C. § 3142(e)(3): There is prob	able cause to believe that the d	efendant has committed an offense
			for which a maximum term of seq., 951 et seq., or 46 U.S.C		ore is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §§ 801 et
			under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c), 956	s(a), or 2332b.	
			under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-159 prescribed.	4, for which a maximum term of	imprisonment of 20 years or more is
			an offense involving a minor v 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 225.	ictim under section 18 U.S.C. §: 2(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252(a)(4),	§ 1201, 1591, 2241-42, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
	(2)				

Case 2:13-cr-00390-NVW Document 33 Filed 03/26/14 Page 2 of 3

Alternative Findings

	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.			
	(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.			
	(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).			
×	(4)	The defendant has failed to prove by clear and convincing evidence that he does not pose a risk of flight.			
		PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION			
	(1)	I find the defendant has not met his burden and poses a risk of danger to the community for the following reasons:			
×	(2)	I find the defendant has not met his burden and poses a risk of flight for the following reasons:			
		The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.			
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his future appearance.			
	×	The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
		There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.			
		The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.			
		The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of			
×	In add	dition:			
		lefendant submitted the issue of detention and is alleged to have violated conditions of supervised release.			

Case 2:13-cr-00390-NVW Document 33 Filed 03/26/14 Page 3 of 3

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to U.S. Probation at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the District Court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify U.S. Probation sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow U.S. Probation an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: <u>March 26, 2014</u>

Honorable Steven P. Logan United States Magistrate Judge